



¹ Canadian Energy Systems Analysis Research (CESAR) Initiative, Faculty of Science & ²Department of Communication, Media and Film, University of Calgary.

INTRODUCTION

In the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement [1], Canada con reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions to 30% belo levels by 2030, and to do its share to keep global war 2°C by 2050 (ca. -80% of 2005 GHGs). The Pan Canac Framework [2] recognizes the important role of prov defining and implementing the strategies to achieve targets.

With support from the National Energy Board, CESAF with all 10 provincial governments and drew on their reports to summarize mitigation measures associated of the 7 economic 'sectors' that are being studied in ⁻ Pathways Project.

The preliminary findings from this exercise are being CESAR to inform technology and behaviour-rich scen that define Pathways to more sustainable energy syst

METHODS

Using the research process summarized in Figure 1, w

- Consulted with <u>33</u> policy makers on the climate change f Canada's 10 provinces [3];
- Compiled more than 50 key documents describing provir policies, programs or regulations [4];
- Extracted more than **330** references from the literature [
- Assessed and extracted climate change measures being already implemented;
- Developed metrics to quantify the measures in terms of: • Engagement: <u>Score / (Score + Blank Count)</u>
- This reflects the engagement of each mitigation measure by



U What changes are envisaged in policy, technology, infrastructure and behavioral change?

Figure 1. Research Process and Questions

Moe S. Esfahlani^{1,2}, <u>esfahlani@ucalgary.ca</u>



mmitted to ow 2005	Sector		Mitigation Measures	Enga mer	ge- nt
rming below dian vinces in	Personal Mobility	Ren Pub Veh Elec Cart	ewable Fuel Standards lic Transit Infrastructure icle Efficiency tric Vehicle Infrastructure oon Tax	80% 76% 76% 64% 52%	
these	(174 pt)	Elec Car Auto Tran	tric Vehicle Incentives Sharing onomous Vehicles Isportation as a Service (TaaS)	47% 29% 16% 0%	
R engaged ir published ed with each the CESAR	Supply Chains (203 pt)	Inte Ren Infra Mul Veh Inte Cark CNG Elec	rprovincial Partnerships ewable Fuel Standards astructure Investment ti-modal icle Efficiency lligent Transport oon Tax de-shift tric or Fuel Cell Vehicles	91% 77% 68% 63% 62% 61% 48% 38% 32% 0%	
hario models stems.	Built Spaces (302 pt)	Com Ene Ene Wal Mur App Effic Hon	nmunity Energy Resources rgy Performance Standards rgy Efficiency kability nicipal Emission Management liance Efficiency cient Infrastructure ne Retrofit	90% 89% 88% 88% 76% 74% 65% 63%	
ve: file across		Urba GHC	genous Initiatives an Densification (through TaaS) 6 Reporting	87%	,
ncial or federal	Energy Using Industries (225 pt)	Inno Fuel Cark Cap	ovative Technology Switch (Low Carbon) Son Tax and Trade ewable Energy Incentive	73% 70% 53% 53% 48%	
[5]; considered or		GHG Cark Fore	E Limits & Targets oon Capture and Storage est/Wetland/Agroforestry	39% 38% 88%	6 6
y provinces.	Biosectors (239 pt)	Was Bior Mar Nut Cark Agri	ste Management nass/Biofuel/Cogen nure Management rient Management oon Offset Trade cultural & Range Management	84% 73% 74% 70% 67% 49%	
are tasked with change s?		Inte Ren Den	rprovincial Transmission ewable Targets & Subsidies nand-Side Management	45% 94% 92% 79%	
neasures being I by the CESAR project that are not n makers?	Power Generation (245 pt)	Coal Phase-Out Distributed Generation Carbon Pricing Nuclear Cogeneration Capacity Market Innovative Technology Carbon Tax Cap & Trade		73% 72% 63% 43% 29%	
measures that are considered within R Pathways project d be?	Fossil Fuels Industries (78 pt)			53% 53% 49%	
e measures compare vinces and within erms of their nt in policy making?		Cogen419CCS/ CCUS399Demand Reduction0%		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
measures are being n other jurisdictions be considered?	Not Applicable	3 pt.	Legislation & Regulation	2 pt.	Poli fund
		1 pt.	Expected soon	1 pt.	Expe

that should



1 pt. Expected soon

We thank the National Energy Board for facilitating this project component by providing partial funding for provincial consultations.



CONCLUSIONS

Supply
ow
(174-
ential
d oil
idered
ong the
ors to
ange.
0

The consultation and review process carried out in this project has identified many similarities and differences among provincial governments in terms of their climate change mitigation measures.

The study only identified the classes of measures and quantifies and compares their "Engagement" in policy making; an interprovincial comparison of differences in policy deployment and their likely GHG impacts are beyond the scope.

Insights from this work will inform **CESAR's Pathway Project** in which we define and model the nature and timing of technology, infrastructure and behavioural changes in Canada's energy systems to achieve climate change commitments.

CESAR's current work (other posters) has revealed that to achieve this goal, mitigation measures will be required that are beyond current policy efforts as mapped here.

Some of these initiatives will need to embrace and harness disruptive change in order to successfully address problems in human systems beyond GHG emissions.

REFERENCES

[1] <u>http://unfccc.int/paris_agreement/items/9485.php</u> [2] https://www.canada.ca/en/services/environment/ weather/climatechange/pan-canadian-framework.html

[3], [4], [5] For a full list of references (54 provincial contacts, <u>58</u> key documents, <u>332</u> online references) please contact the authors.

- ACKNOWLEDGEMENT -

National Energy Board



Canada